

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

On the p-adic denseness of the quotient set of a polynomial image

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1676865> since 2019-04-30T09:38:38Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.jnt.2018.08.010

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

ON THE p -ADIC DENSENESS OF THE QUOTIENT SET OF A POLYNOMIAL IMAGE

PIOTR MISKA, NADIR MURRU, AND CARLO SANNA

ABSTRACT. The *quotient set*, or *ratio set*, of a set of integers A is defined as

$$R(A) := \{a/b : a, b \in A, b \neq 0\}.$$

We consider the case in which A is the image of \mathbb{Z}^+ under a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, and we give some conditions under which $R(A)$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p . Then, we apply these results to determine when $R(S_m^n)$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p , where S_m^n is the set of numbers of the form $x_1^n + \dots + x_m^n$, with $x_1, \dots, x_m \geq 0$ integers. This allows us to answer a question posed in [Garcia *et al.*, p -adic quotient sets, Acta Arith. **179**, 163–184]. We end leaving an open question.

1. INTRODUCTION

The *quotient set*, also known as *ratio set*, of a set of integers A is defined as

$$R(A) := \left\{ \frac{a}{b} : a, b \in A, b \neq 0 \right\}.$$

The question of when $R(A)$ is dense in \mathbb{R}^+ is a classical topic and has been studied by many researchers (see, e.g., [1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 11, 15]).

Recently, some authors approached the study of the denseness of $R(A)$ in the field of p -adic numbers \mathbb{Q}_p . Garcia and Luca [6] proved that the quotient set of the Fibonacci numbers is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p , and Sanna [12] extended this result to the k -generalized Fibonacci numbers. In [5], the denseness of $R(A)$ in \mathbb{Q}_p is studied when A is the set of values of a Lucas sequence, the set of positive integers which are sum of k squares, respectively k cubes, or the union of two geometric progressions. Moreover, Miska and Sanna [10] proved that, given any partition A_1, \dots, A_k of \mathbb{Z}^+ , for all prime numbers p but at most $\lfloor \log_2 k \rfloor$ exceptions at least one of $R(A_1), \dots, R(A_k)$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p .

In this paper, we focus on the study of the denseness of $R(A)$ in \mathbb{Q}_p when A is the image of \mathbb{Z}^+ under a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. For the sake of notation, we put $R_f := R(f(\mathbb{Z}^+))$ for any function $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$. The following easy lemma provides a necessary condition under which R_f is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p .

Lemma 1.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ be a continuous function. If R_f is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p , then f has a zero in \mathbb{Z}_p .*

Proof. Since R_f is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p , there exists a sequence of integers $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ such that $f(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ (in the p -adic topology) as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By the compactness of \mathbb{Z}_p , there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k \geq 0}$ converging to some $x_\infty \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Since f is continuous, we get $f(x_\infty) = 0$, as desired. \square

Our first result is a sufficient condition under which R_f is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p . We postpone its proof to Section 2.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ be an analytic function and let $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ be two (not necessarily distinct) zeros of f of multiplicities μ_1, μ_2 , respectively. If μ_1, μ_2 are coprime, then R_f is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p .*

As an immediate consequence we have the following corollary.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 11B05; Secondary: 11B83.

Key words and phrases. denseness, p -adic numbers, polynomials, quotient set, sum of powers.

Corollary 1.3. *If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ is an analytic function with a simple zero in \mathbb{Z}_p , then R_f is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p .*

The above results make possible to completely characterize the linear and quadratic polynomials f for which R_f is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p .

Proposition 1.4. *Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be a polynomial of degree 1 or 2. Then, R_f is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p if and only if f has a simple zero in \mathbb{Z}_p .*

Proof. When f has degree 1, the thesis follows immediately from Lemma 1.1 and Corollary 1.3. Assume f has degree 2. If f has a simple zero in \mathbb{Z}_p , then R_f is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p by Corollary 1.3. On the other hand, if f has no simple zeros in \mathbb{Z}_p , then we have two cases. In the first case, f has no zeros in \mathbb{Z}_p . Then, by Lemma 1.1, R_f is not dense in \mathbb{Q}_p . In the second case, f has a zero in \mathbb{Z}_p with multiplicity 2, i.e., $f(x) = a(x - z)^2$, for some $a, z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $a \neq 0$. Consequently, R_f is not dense in \mathbb{Q}_p , since the p -adic valuation of each element of R_f is divisible by 2. \square

For polynomials of higher degrees, we can not exploit Lemma 1.1 and Corollary 1.3 to determine if R_f is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p . For instance, consider the case of a polynomial of degree 3 with a double root in \mathbb{Z}_p and the other root not in \mathbb{Z}_p . However, if we consider polynomials having all their roots in \mathbb{Z}_p , then we have the following result.

Proposition 1.5. *Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be a nonconstant polynomial splitting in \mathbb{Z}_p and of degree less than 31. Then, R_f is not dense in \mathbb{Q}_p if and only if there exists an integer $n > 1$ which divides the multiplicity of each root of f .*

Proof. Let μ_1, \dots, μ_s be the multiplicities of the roots of f . If there exists an integer $n > 1$ dividing all μ_1, \dots, μ_s , then $f = ag^n$, for some $a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and $g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. Consequently, R_f is not dense in \mathbb{Q}_p , since the p -adic valuation of each element of R_f is divisible by n . Now suppose that there exists no integer $n > 1$ dividing all μ_1, \dots, μ_s . We shall prove that $\gcd(\mu_i, \mu_j) = 1$ for some i, j . In this way, by Theorem 1.2, it follows that R_f is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p . For the sake of contradiction, assume $\gcd(\mu_i, \mu_j) > 1$ for all i, j . In particular, we have $s \geq 3$, and that each μ_i has at least two distinct prime factors. Also, at least one of μ_1, \dots, μ_s is odd. Without loss of generality, we can assume μ_1 odd. Thus $\mu_1 \in \{15, 21\}$, and at least one of μ_2, \dots, μ_s is not divisible by 3. Without loss of generality, we can assume μ_2 not divisible by 3. Thus $\mu_2 \in \{10, 14\}$. Since μ_3 has at least two distinct prime factors, $\mu_3 \geq 6$ and consequently $\deg f = \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_s > 30$, absurd. \square

Remark 1.6. Proposition 1.5 is optimal in the sense that there exists a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ of degree 31, splitting in \mathbb{Z}_p , with the greatest common divisor of the multiplicities of its roots equal to 1, but such that R_f is not dense in \mathbb{Q}_p . Indeed, consider

$$f(X) = (X + 1)^6(X + 2)^{10}(X + 3)^{15}.$$

Then, for $p > 2$ (respectively $p = 2$) the p -adic valuation of each element of $f(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ is of the form $6n$, $10n$, or $15n$ (respectively $10n$, $6n + 15$, or $15n + 6$), for some integer $n \geq 0$. Therefore, no element of R_f has p -adic valuation equal to 1 (respectively 2), and R_f is not dense in \mathbb{Q}_p .

Remark 1.7. Using the same reasonings as in the proof of Proposition 1.5, one can prove a slightly more general statement: Given $f = gh$, where $g, h \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ are such that g splits in \mathbb{Z}_p , $1 \leq \deg g \leq 30$, and the p -adic valuation of h is constant, we have that R_f is not dense in \mathbb{Q}_p if and only if there does not exist an integer $n > 1$ dividing all the multiplicities of the roots of g .

For integers $m, n \geq 2$, define the set

$$S_m^n := \{x_1^n + \dots + x_m^n : x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

The authors of [5] considered $n = 2, 3$ and proved the following results [5, Theorems 4.1 and 4.2]. (Actually, there is a small error, here corrected, in [5, Theorem 4.2], see Remark 1.15 below.)

Theorem 1.8. *For all prime numbers p , we have:*

- (a) $R(S_2^2)$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p if and only if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.
- (b) $R(S_m^2)$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p for all integers $m \geq 3$.
- (c) $R(S_m^3)$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p for all integers $m \geq 2$.

For all integers $n, b \geq 2$, let $\gamma(n, b)$ denote the smallest positive integer g such that for every $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ the equation

$$(1) \quad X_1^n + \cdots + X_g^n \equiv a \pmod{b}$$

has a solution. Furthermore, let $\theta(n, b)$ be the smallest positive integer g such that for $a = 0$ the equation (1) has a solution with at least one of X_1, \dots, X_g coprime with b . The quantities $\gamma(n, b)$, $\theta(n, b)$ have been studied in regard to analogs of Waring's problem modulo p (see, e.g., [13, 14]).

We give an effective criterion to establish if $R(S_m^n)$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p . We postpone its proof to Section 3.

Theorem 1.9. *Let $m, n \geq 2$ be integers, let p be a prime number, and put $k := \nu_p(n)$.*

- (a) *If $m \geq \theta(n, p^{2k+1})$, then $R(S_m^n)$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p .*
- (b) *If $m < \theta(n, p^{2k+1})$ and $(n, p) \notin \{(2, 2), (4, 2), (8, 2), (16, 2)\}$, then $R(S_m^n)$ is not dense in \mathbb{Q}_p .*
- (c) $R(S_m^2)$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_2 if and only if $m \geq 3$.
- (d) $R(S_m^4)$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_2 if and only if $m \geq 8$.
- (e) $R(S_m^8)$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_2 if and only if $m \geq 16$.
- (f) $R(S_m^{16})$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_2 if and only if $m \geq 64$.

Example 1.10. Let us consider the denseness of $R(S_m^6)$ in \mathbb{Q}_{11} . In order to apply Theorem 1.9, we have to compute $\theta(6, 11)$. The nonzero sixth powers modulo 11 are 1, 3, 4, 5, and 9. Hence, the minimum positive integer g such that the equation $X_1^6 + \cdots + X_g^6 \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$ has a solution, with at least one of X_1, \dots, X_g not divisible by 11, is $\theta(6, 11) = 3$. Consequently, by points (a) and (b) of Theorem 1.9, we have that $R(S_m^6)$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_{11} if and only if $m \geq 3$.

Example 1.11. Let us consider the denseness of $R(S_m^{10})$ in \mathbb{Q}_2 . In order to apply Theorem 1.9, we have to compute $\theta(10, 8)$. We have $x^{10} \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ for each odd integer x . Hence, it follows easily that $\theta(10, 8) = 8$. Consequently, by points (a) and (b) of Theorem 1.9, we have that $R(S_m^{10})$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_2 if and only if $m \geq 8$.

For $m = 2$, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.12. *Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, let p be a prime number, and put $k = \nu_p(n)$. Then $R(S_2^n)$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p if and only if -1 is an n th power modulo p^{2k+1} . In particular, $R(S_2^n)$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p whenever n is odd.*

Proof. First, assume $p = 2$ and $n \in \{2, 4, 8, 16\}$. Then, it can be easily checked that -1 is not an n th power modulo p^{2k+1} . By Theorem 1.8, $R(S_2^2)$ is not dense in \mathbb{Q}_p and, since $S_2^n \subseteq S_2^2$, we get that $R(S_2^n)$ is not dense in \mathbb{Q}_p . Now assume $(n, p) \notin \{(2, 2), (4, 2), (8, 2), (16, 2)\}$. By Theorem 1.9, we have that $R(S_2^n)$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p if and only if there exist integers $0 \leq x_1, x_2 < p^{2k+1}$, not both divisible by p , such that $x_1^n + x_2^n$ is divisible by p^{2k+1} . It is easy to see that this last condition is equivalent to the -1 being an n th power modulo p^{2k+1} . \square

In [5, Problem 4.3] it is asked about the denseness in \mathbb{Q}_p of $R(S_m^4)$ and $R(S_m^5)$. From Corollary 1.12, we have that $R(S_m^5)$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p for all integers $m \geq 2$ and prime numbers p . Regarding $R(S_m^4)$, the situation is more complicated. Theorem 1.9(d) already covers the case $p = 2$. For $p > 2$ we have the following result.

Theorem 1.13. *For all prime numbers $p > 2$, we have:*

- (a) $R(S_2^4)$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p if and only if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.
- (b) $R(S_3^4)$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p if and only if $p \neq 5, 29$.
- (c) $R(S_4^4)$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p if and only if $p \neq 5$.
- (d) $R(S_m^4)$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p for all integers $m \geq 5$.

Proof. By Corollary 1.12, $R(S_2^4)$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p if and only if -1 is a fourth power modulo p . In turn, this is well known to be equivalent to $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Hence, (a) is proved. Substituting $a = -1$ into (1), the bound $\theta(n, b) \leq \gamma(n, b) + 1$ follows. From [13, Theorem 3'], we have that $\gamma(4, p) = 2$ for all prime numbers $p > 41$. Hence, $\theta(4, p) \leq 3$ for all prime numbers $p > 41$. Then, a computation shows that $\theta(4, p) \leq 3$ for all prime numbers $p \neq 5, 29$. Precisely, $\theta(4, 5) = 5$ and $\theta(4, 29) = 4$. Now the claims (b), (c), and (d) follow from Theorem 1.9. \square

We leave the following general question to the readers.

Question 1.14. *Given a prime number p and a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, is there an effective criterion to establish if R_f is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p ? What about multivariate polynomials?*

Remark 1.15. In [5, Theorem 4.2] it is stated that $R(S_2^3)$ is not dense in \mathbb{Q}_3 . This is not correct, since $R(S_2^3)$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_3 in light of Corollary 1.12. The mistake in the proof of [5, Theorems 4.2] is when, at point (b2), it is asserted that: “If $x/y \in R(S_2^3)$ is sufficiently close to 3 in \mathbb{Q}_3 , then $\nu_3(x) = \nu_3(y) + 1$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $\nu_3(x) = 1$ and $\nu_3(y) = 0$.” This is not true, because if y is the sum of two cubes, then there is no guarantee that $y/3^{\nu_3(y)}$ is still the sum of two cubes. For instance, if $y = 1^3 + 5^3$ then $y/3^{\nu_3(y)} = 14$ is not the sum of two cubes.

Notation. For each prime number p , let ν_p denote the usual p -adic valuation, with the convention $\nu_p(0) := +\infty$. For integers a and $m > 0$, we write $(a \bmod m)$ for the unique integer $r \in]-b/2, b/2]$ such that $a - r$ is divisible by m .

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We have to prove that for all $r \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $u > 0$ there exist $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $f(x_2) \neq 0$ and

$$\nu_p\left(\frac{f(x_1)}{f(x_2)} - r\right) > u.$$

Clearly, since \mathbb{Q}_p^* is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p , it is enough to consider $r \neq 0$. Furthermore, since \mathbb{Z}^+ is dense in \mathbb{Z}_p and f is continuous, we can assume, less restrictively, $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. By hypothesis, for $i = 1, 2$, we have $f(X) = (X - z_i)^{\mu_i} g_i(X)$, where $g_i : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ is an analytic function such that $g_i(z_i) \neq 0$. Put $x_i := y_i p^{k_i} + z_i$, for $i = 1, 2$, where $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$ and $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ will be chosen later. Without loss of generality, we can assume $\nu_p(g_1(z_1)) \leq \nu_p(g_2(z_2))$. Thus, setting $G := g_2(z_2)/g_1(z_1)$, we have $G \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$. Since g_1, g_2 are continuous, for sufficiently large k_1, k_2 we have

$$(2) \quad \nu_p\left(G \cdot \frac{g_1(x_1)}{g_2(x_2)} - 1\right) > u - \nu_p(r),$$

In particular, it is implicit that $g(x_2) \neq 0$ and consequently $f(x_2) \neq 0$. We fix k_1, k_2 such that

$$k_1 \mu_1 - k_2 \mu_2 = \nu_p(r),$$

and (2) holds. This is possible thanks to the condition $\gcd(\mu_1, \mu_2) = 1$. Indeed, by Bézout's lemma, the quantity $k_1 \mu_1 - k_2 \mu_2$ can be equal to any integer with k_1 and k_2 arbitrarily large (if $k_1 \mu_1 - k_2 \mu_2 = a$, then $(k_1 + K \mu_2) \mu_1 - (k_2 + K \mu_1) \mu_2 = a$, for any integer K).

Again by Bézout's lemma, there exist integers $h_1, h_2 \geq 0$ such that $h_1 \mu_1 - h_2 \mu_2 = 1$. We set $y_i = s^{h_i}$, for $i = 1, 2$, where $s := p^{-\nu_p(r)} r G$. Note that $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$, as required.

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(x_1)}{f(x_2)} &= \frac{(x_1 - z_1)^{\mu_1}}{(x_2 - z_2)^{\mu_2}} \cdot \frac{g_1(x_1)}{g_2(x_2)} = p^{k_1\mu_1 - k_2\mu_2} \cdot \frac{y_1^{\mu_1}}{y_2^{\mu_2}} \cdot \frac{g_1(x_1)}{g_2(x_2)} \\ &= p^{\nu_p(r)} \cdot s^{h_1\mu_1 - h_2\mu_2} \cdot \frac{g_1(x_1)}{g_2(x_2)} = p^{\nu_p(r)} \cdot s \cdot \frac{g_1(x_1)}{g_2(x_2)} = rG \cdot \frac{g_1(x_1)}{g_2(x_2)}, \end{aligned}$$

so that, recalling (2), we get

$$\nu_p\left(\frac{f(x_1)}{f(x_2)} - r\right) = \nu_p\left(r\left(G \cdot \frac{g_1(x_1)}{g_2(x_2)} - 1\right)\right) > u,$$

as desired.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.9

(a) Suppose that there exist integers $0 \leq x_1, \dots, x_m < p^{2k+1}$, not all divisible by p , such that $x_1^n + \dots + x_m^n$ is divisible by p^{2k+1} . Up to reordering x_1, \dots, x_m , we can assume that $p \nmid x_1$. Put $f(X) = X^n + x_2^n + \dots + x_m^n$, so that $f'(X) = nX^{n-1}$. In particular, all the roots of f are simple. Since $p \nmid x_1$, we have

$$\nu_p(f(x_1)) \geq 2k + 1 > 2k = 2\nu_p(f'(x_1)),$$

so that, by Hensel's lemma [4, Ch. 4, Lemma 3.1], f has a simple root in \mathbb{Z}_p . Hence, by Corollary 1.3, R_f is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p . Clearly, $R_f \subseteq R(S_m^n)$, so that $R(S_m^n)$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p .

(b) Suppose that there are no integers x_1, \dots, x_m as before, and that

$$(3) \quad (n, p) \notin \{(2, 2), (4, 2), (8, 2), (16, 2)\}.$$

We shall prove that $4k + 1 < n$. For the sake of contradiction, suppose $4k + 1 \geq n$. Since $n \geq 2$, we have $k \geq 1$. Also, we have $4k + 1 \geq p^k$, which implies $p \leq 5$. Now, taking into account (3), it can be readily checked that

$$(n, p) \in \{(3, 3), (9, 3), (5, 5)\}.$$

But $3^3 \mid (1^3 + 8^3)$, $3^5 \mid (1^9 + 26^9)$, and $5^3 \mid (1^5 + 24^5)$, contradicting the nonexistence of x_1, \dots, x_m .

Let $y_1, \dots, y_m \geq 0$ be integers, not all equal to zero. Put $\mu := \min\{\nu_p(y_i) : i = 1, \dots, m\}$, $I := \{i : \nu_p(y_i) = \mu\}$, and $J := \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus I$. Also, put $z_i := y_i/p^\mu$ for $i \in I$, so that z_i is an integer not divisible by p . The nonexistence of x_1, \dots, x_m implies that

$$(4) \quad \nu_p\left(\sum_{i \in I} z_i^n\right) \leq 2k.$$

Therefore, since $2k < n$, we have

$$\nu_p\left(\sum_{i \in I} y_i^n\right) = \mu n + \nu_p\left(\sum_{i \in I} z_i^n\right) \leq \mu n + 2k < (\mu + 1)n \leq \nu_p\left(\sum_{j \in J} y_j^n\right),$$

and consequently

$$\nu_p(y_1^n + \dots + y_m^n) = \nu_p\left(\sum_{i \in I} y_i^n\right) = \mu n + \nu_p\left(\sum_{i \in I} z_i^n\right),$$

which in turn, by (4), implies that

$$(\nu_p(y_1^n + \dots + y_m^n) \bmod n) \in \{0, \dots, 2k\}.$$

Thus, for each $a \in R(S_m^n) \setminus \{0\}$ we have

$$(\nu_p(a) \bmod n) \in \{-2k, \dots, 2k\},$$

that is, the p -adic valuations of the nonzero elements of $R(S_m^n)$ belong to at most $4k + 1$ residue classes modulo n . Since $4k + 1 < n$, at least one residue class modulo n is missing and, a fortiori, $R(S_m^n)$ is not dense in \mathbb{Q}_p .

(c) The claim follows immediately from Theorem 1.8.

From now on, assume $n = 2^k$, with $k \in \{2, 3, 4\}$. Let T_m^n be the topological closure of S_m^n in \mathbb{Q}_2 . Clearly, we have

$$T_m^n = \{x_1^n + \cdots + x_m^n : x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{Z}_2\}.$$

It is a standard exercise showing that the nonzero n th powers of \mathbb{Z}_2^* are exactly the elements of the form $1 + 4ny$, with $y \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. As a consequence,

$$T_1^n = \{2^{nv}(1 + 4ny) : v \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, y \in \mathbb{Z}_2\} \cup \{0\}.$$

Let $v_1, v_2 \geq 0$, $j \geq 1$ be integers and $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. If $v_1 = v_2$, then

$$2^{nv_1}(j + 4ny_1) + 2^{nv_2}(1 + 4ny_2) = 2^{nv_1}(j + 1 + 4nz),$$

where $z := y_1 + y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. If $v_1 < v_2$, then

$$2^{nv_1}(j + 4ny_1) + 2^{nv_2}(1 + 4ny_2) = 2^{nv_1}(j + 4nz),$$

where $z := y_1 + 2^{n(v_2-v_1)-k-2}(1 + 4ny_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, since $n = 2^k \geq k + 2$. If $v_1 > v_2$, then

$$2^{nv_1}(j + 4ny_1) + 2^{nv_2}(1 + 4ny_2) = 2^{nv_2}(1 + 4nz),$$

where $z := 2^{n(v_1-v_2)-k-2}(j + 4ny_1) + y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, again since $n \geq k + 2$.

Therefore, it follows easily by induction on m that

$$(5) \quad T_m^n = \{2^{nv}(j + 4ny) : v \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}, y \in \mathbb{Z}_2\} \cup \{0\}.$$

(d) On the one hand, using (5), it can be checked quickly that $15 \notin R(T_7^4)$. Hence, $R(S_7^4)$ is not dense in \mathbb{Q}_2 . On the other hand, we have

$$2^{4v+r}(1 + 2y) = \frac{2^{4v}(8 + 16y)}{2^{4 \cdot 0}(2^{3-r} + 16 \cdot 0)} \in R(T_8^4),$$

for all $v \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $r \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, and $y \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Hence, $\mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq R(T_8^4)$ and, since $R(T_8^4)$ is closed by inversion, we get that $R(T_8^4) = \mathbb{Q}_p$. Thus $R(S_8^4)$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p .

(e) On the one hand, by (5), the 2-adic valuation of each nonzero element of T_{15}^8 is congruent to 0, 1, 2, or 3 modulo 8. Hence, $R(T_{15}^8)$ contains no element with 2-adic valuation equal to 4, and consequently $R(S_{15}^8)$ is not dense in \mathbb{Q}_2 . On the other hand, we have

$$2^{8v+r}(1 + 2y) = \frac{2^{8v}(16 + 32y)}{2^{8 \cdot 0}(2^{4-r} + 32 \cdot 0)} \in R(T_{16}^8)$$

and

$$2^{8v+r+4}(1 + 2y) = \frac{2^{8(v+1)}(2^r + 32 \cdot 0)}{2^{8 \cdot 0}(16 + 32 \frac{-y}{1+2y})} \in R(T_{16}^8)$$

for all $v \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $r \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, and $y \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Hence, $\mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq R(T_{16}^8)$ and, since $R(T_{16}^8)$ is closed by inversion, we get that $R(T_{16}^8) = \mathbb{Q}_p$. Thus $R(S_{16}^8)$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p .

(f) On the one hand, by (5), the 2-adic valuation of each nonzero element of T_{63}^{16} is congruent to 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 modulo 16. Hence, $R(T_{63}^{16})$ contains no element with 2-adic valuation equal to 6, and consequently $R(S_{63}^{16})$ is not dense in \mathbb{Q}_2 . On the other hand, 2^9 divides $5^{16} + 1^{16} + \cdots + 1^{16}$ (63 times 1^{16}). Hence, by point (a), we get that $R(S_{64}^{16})$ is dense in \mathbb{Q}_2 .

Acknowledgments. The authors thanks the anonymous referee for carefully reading the paper. N. Murru and C. Sanna are members of the INdAM group GNSAGA.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Brown, M. Dairyko, S. R. Garcia, B. Lutz, and M. Someck, *Four quotient set gems*, Amer. Math. Monthly **121** (2014), no. 7, 590–599.
- [2] J. Bukor, T. Šalát, and J. T. Tóth, *Remarks on R -density of sets of numbers*, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. **11** (1997), 159–165, Number theory (Liptovský Ján, 1995).
- [3] J. Bukor and J. T. Tóth, *On accumulation points of ratio sets of positive integers*, Amer. Math. Monthly **103** (1996), no. 6, 502–504.
- [4] J. W. S. Cassels, *Local fields*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts **3**, Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- [5] S. R. Garcia, Y. X. Hong, F. Luca, E. Pinsky, C. Sanna, E. Schechter, and A. Starr, *p -adic quotient sets*, Acta Arith. **179** (2017), no. 2, 163–184.
- [6] S. R. Garcia and F. Luca, *Quotients of Fibonacci numbers*, Amer. Math. Monthly **123** (2016), no. 10, 1039–1044.
- [7] S. R. Garcia, V. Selhorst-Jones, D. E. Poore, and N. Simon, *Quotient sets and Diophantine equations*, Amer. Math. Monthly **118** (2011), no. 8, 704–711.
- [8] S. Hedman and D. Rose, *Light subsets of \mathbb{N} with dense quotient sets*, Amer. Math. Monthly **116** (2009), no. 7, 635–641.
- [9] D. Hobby and D. M. Silberger, *Quotients of primes*, Amer. Math. Monthly **100** (1993), no. 1, 50–52.
- [10] P. Miska and C. Sanna, *p -adic denseness of members of partitions of \mathbb{N} and their ratio sets*, (preprint) <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.28136.57608>.
- [11] T. Šalát, *On ratio sets of sets of natural numbers*, Acta Arith. **15** (1968/1969), 273–278.
- [12] C. Sanna, *The quotient set of k -generalised Fibonacci numbers is dense in \mathbb{Q}_p* , Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **96** (2017), no. 1, 24–29.
- [13] C. Small, *Solution of Waring’s problem mod n* , Amer. Math. Monthly **84** (1977), no. 5, 356–359.
- [14] C. Small, *Waring’s problem mod n* , Amer. Math. Monthly **84** (1977), no. 1, 12–25.
- [15] O. Strauch and J. T. Tóth, *Asymptotic density of $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ and density of the ratio set $R(A)$* , Acta Arith. **87** (1998), no. 1, 67–78.

JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, KRAKÓW, POLAND
E-mail address: piotrmiska91@gmail.com

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TORINO, ITALY
E-mail address: nadir.murru@unito.it
URL: <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0509-6278>

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TORINO, ITALY
E-mail address: carlo.sanna.dev@gmail.com
URL: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2111-7596>